## Experience-Based Heterogeneity in Expectations and Monetary Policy by Lucas Radke and Florian Wicknig

Discussion by Jenny Chan Bank of England

Czech National Bank Conference: Expectations in Dynamic Macroeconomic Models August 30, 2021

This presentation represents the views of the author and not those of the Bank of England or any of its policy committees.

An important question: how does expectational heterogeneity matter for monetary policy?

This paper: a New Keynesian model with experience-based learning (EBL)

- Agents behave like econometricians, forming expectations of future economic variables based on parameters estimated from a time-varying AR process
- Expectational heterogeneity comes from differences in perceived persistence of economic variables
- Following Malmendier and Nagel (2016), agents place more weight on recent observations, ignoring data prior to birth.
- $\rightarrow$  Demographics affect aggregate expectations through a composition effect.
- $\rightarrow$  The Experience Channel matters for the transmission of monetary policy.

- Lower perceived persistence for younger cohorts
- Weaker effect of monetary policy on inflation
- Weaker pass through of monetary policy and a smaller tradeoff in stabilizing output and inflation

- Optimal degree of gradualism
- Importance of managing expectations
- Committee composition

Cohort effects versus lived experience- cohorts may differ in many dimensions other than the sequence of shocks they observe:

- Sectoral employment (manufacturing versus services)
- Portfolio composition
- Rural versus urban residences (and car ownership rates)
- Composition of consumption
- Attentiveness to inflation (Candia, Coibon, and Gorodnichenko, 2020)

To disentangle cohort effects versus lived experience, would it be possible compare analysis across different countries or US states?

- The effects of monetary policy are stronger and more persistent in older societies than younger ones Japanese experience
- As a population skews older, the perceived persistence of shocks increases, resulting in higher aggregate volatility

Greater macroeconomic stability in older, developed countries

• Younger cohorts have a lower perceived persistence of economic variables

Great Recession

 $\rightarrow$  The results are about averages, but is that useful for policymakers?

- Does it matter if the transmission of monetary policy is weaker if shocks are also perceived to be less persistent?
- The effect of monetary policy on demographics (Cummings and Dettling, 2019)